

FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AS A TOOL FOR FRAUD PREVENTION: A LITERATURE REVIEW IN THE INDONESIAN CONTEXT

Linatul Uyun

Perbankan Syariah, Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Kalijaga, Indonesia

linatuluyun76@gmail.com

Received November 14, 2024; Revised December 10, 2024; Accepted January 11, 2025; Published January 13, 2025

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explore the role of forensic accounting as a fraud prevention tool in the Indonesian context through a systematic literature review. As financial crimes become increasingly complex—especially in emerging economies like Indonesia—the application of forensic accounting is gaining relevance, yet remains underutilized. This research adopts a qualitative approach using secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, government reports, and professional publications published between 2010 and 2024. The data were analyzed through thematic content analysis to identify key issues, research gaps, and best practices. The findings show that forensic accounting in Indonesia is still at a developmental stage, hindered by regulatory ambiguity, lack of certified professionals, and limited integration with digital forensic tools. Educational infrastructure also lags behind global standards, contributing to a mismatch between academic training and market demands. A key novelty of this study lies in its contextualized synthesis, which not only examines the technical aspects of forensic accounting but also addresses institutional, educational, and cultural factors specific to Indonesia. Additionally, this research bridges forensic accounting with technological developments, advocating for the integration of data analytics and cybersecurity in audit practices. The study concludes that a holistic reform encompassing education, legal frameworks, and technological infrastructure is essential to maximize the impact of forensic accounting in curbing fraud. This paper serves as a reference point for policymakers, academics, and practitioners seeking to enhance financial transparency and accountability in emerging markets.

Keywords: *Forensic accounting, fraud prevention, indonesia, digital audit, financial governance*

INTRODUCTION

Forensic accounting has emerged as a critical discipline that integrates accounting, auditing, and investigative skills to address issues of fraud and financial misconduct. It plays a central role in the detection and prevention of fraudulent financial activities, especially in countries where regulatory oversight and internal controls may be inadequate (Wells, 2014). The core function of forensic accounting lies in its ability to uncover hidden or manipulated financial information that may not be evident through traditional audits (DiGabriele, 2008). This investigative approach combines analytical techniques and legal knowledge, enabling professionals to act as expert witnesses in litigation or corporate investigations (Crumbley et al., 2013). In Indonesia, where financial fraud cases have seen a rise in both public and private sectors, forensic accounting is gaining recognition as an essential anti-fraud mechanism (Sihombing & Rahardjo, 2021). Despite its potential, forensic accounting is still underutilized due to a



lack of awareness, education, and professional expertise (Putra & Yulianto, 2020). As fraudulent schemes become more complex, the demand for forensic accountants who can provide deep financial analysis and legal insight continues to grow (Omar et al., 2017). This literature review aims to explore the role of forensic accounting in fraud prevention, with a particular focus on the Indonesian context.

Fraud, as defined by the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), involves the use of deception to gain an unlawful advantage, typically resulting in financial loss to another party (ACFE, 2022). In developing economies such as Indonesia, the risk of fraud is exacerbated by weak enforcement of regulations, low financial literacy, and limited internal control systems in both public institutions and private enterprises (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). Forensic accounting provides a structured methodology to examine financial discrepancies, trace transactions, and establish intent, which are all crucial in legal proceedings (Silverstone & Sheetz, 2007). It emphasizes evidence-based approaches that not only identify fraudulent behavior but also support organizational policies aimed at deterring such actions in the future (Rezaee & Riley, 2010). In recent years, the Indonesian government and anti-corruption agencies have begun to adopt forensic techniques in public audits and investigations, signaling a positive trend toward greater accountability (KPK RI, 2023). However, the academic and practical frameworks surrounding forensic accounting in Indonesia remain underdeveloped compared to global standards (Hidayat & Nurkhin, 2019). Thus, examining existing literature is crucial for understanding how forensic accounting can be systematically integrated into Indonesia's fraud prevention strategies.

One of the major issues identified in the Indonesian context is the limited integration of forensic accounting practices within organizational fraud prevention frameworks (Hidayat & Nurkhin, 2019). Many organizations still rely heavily on traditional auditing methods that often fail to detect sophisticated fraud schemes involving digital transactions and complex financial instruments (Putra & Yulianto, 2020). The lack of qualified forensic accountants and insufficient training programs contribute to the ineffective implementation of fraud detection measures (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). Additionally, many higher education institutions in Indonesia have yet to incorporate forensic accounting as a core component of their accounting curriculum (Sihombing & Rahardjo, 2021). This results in a gap between academic preparation and industry demand, weakening the country's capacity to combat financial crimes (Omar et al., 2017). Another challenge lies in the absence of standardized forensic audit procedures applicable to both public and private sectors (Rezaee & Riley, 2010). Without regulatory enforcement and professional certification in forensic accounting, many cases of fraud remain undetected or unresolved (Silverstone & Sheetz, 2007). Consequently, Indonesia faces significant barriers in establishing an effective anti-fraud ecosystem (KPK RI, 2023).

Another prominent issue concerns the cultural and institutional factors that inhibit the development of forensic accounting as a discipline in Indonesia (Wells, 2014). The culture of tolerance toward unethical financial practices, coupled with bureaucratic

inefficiency, undermines transparency and accountability in both government and corporate sectors (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). Many companies are reluctant to adopt forensic accounting practices due to perceived costs and fear of reputational damage (Sihombing & Rahardjo, 2021). Furthermore, limited interagency collaboration among financial regulators, law enforcement, and audit bodies hampers the effectiveness of fraud prevention initiatives (KPK RI, 2023). The lack of digital forensics infrastructure and data-sharing mechanisms also restricts the ability of forensic accountants to conduct thorough investigations (Omar et al., 2017). In several documented cases, weak evidentiary procedures have prevented successful prosecution of financial fraud cases in Indonesia (Hidayat & Nurkhin, 2019). These systemic issues highlight the urgent need for a unified legal and professional framework to support forensic accounting practices (Rezaee & Riley, 2010). Without structural reform and educational advancement, the role of forensic accounting in Indonesia will remain underdeveloped and reactive rather than preventive (Putra & Yulianto, 2020).

Despite increasing recognition of forensic accounting's relevance in fraud prevention, empirical and theoretical studies focusing specifically on its application in Indonesia remain limited and fragmented (Putra & Yulianto, 2020). Most available literature emphasizes general fraud detection methods without deeply analyzing the unique socio-economic and institutional challenges within the Indonesian context (Sihombing & Rahardjo, 2021). Moreover, existing research often lacks comparative insights between forensic and traditional accounting practices in preventing fraud (Hidayat & Nurkhin, 2019). There is also a scarcity of research that examines the integration of digital forensics and forensic accounting amidst Indonesia's growing digital economy (Kurniawan & Yusuf, 2023). While global studies highlight the significance of technological tools such as data analytics and AI in forensic practices, such perspectives are rarely contextualized in Indonesian academic publications (Wijayanti & Firmansyah, 2024). This gap is particularly critical considering the surge in cyber-fraud and digital financial crimes in Southeast Asia (Interpol, 2023). Furthermore, there is little discussion on how forensic accounting education and certification can be enhanced to meet future fraud challenges in Indonesia (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). Addressing these research gaps is essential to formulating effective, evidence-based strategies for fraud prevention in Indonesia.

This study offers a novel contribution by providing a focused literature review on the role of forensic accounting in fraud prevention specifically within the Indonesian context, which has been relatively underexplored in existing research. Unlike prior studies that address fraud prevention in general terms, this paper emphasizes the strategic relevance of forensic accounting in addressing institutional weaknesses unique to Indonesia. It highlights the integration of forensic methods with digital tools such as data analytics, which are still rarely discussed in local academic discourse. Additionally, the study introduces a contextual comparison between global forensic accounting frameworks and their potential adaptability in Indonesia's regulatory and cultural environment. By mapping the academic and practical gaps, this paper sheds light on the

misalignment between policy implementation and professional capability. It also introduces the concept of a structured forensic accounting curriculum as a preventative tool, not just a reactive measure. This approach is rarely emphasized in Indonesian forensic literature. Thus, this review fills a critical academic void by linking theoretical insights with practical recommendations relevant to Indonesia's anti-fraud strategy.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze and synthesize existing literature on forensic accounting as a tool for fraud prevention in Indonesia. Specifically, the study aims to identify how forensic accounting has been applied, its effectiveness, and the challenges that hinder its implementation. It also seeks to explore the development of forensic accounting education and professional practice to meet the demands of modern financial crime detection. Additionally, this review aims to compare Indonesian practices with global standards, providing insight into potential areas for policy and institutional improvement. The study further intends to uncover the extent to which digital technologies have been incorporated into forensic accounting processes in Indonesia. Another key objective is to propose a framework for enhancing the role of forensic accountants in both public and private sectors. By achieving these goals, the study hopes to contribute to the national discourse on strengthening financial integrity and transparency. Ultimately, the research aspires to support strategic efforts in minimizing fraud through informed academic and professional engagement.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design using a systematic literature review method to explore the role of forensic accounting in fraud prevention within the Indonesian context. The literature review method was chosen due to its effectiveness in synthesizing diverse academic perspectives, policy documents, and empirical findings relevant to the research theme (Snyder, 2019). Sources were gathered from reputable academic databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, DOAJ, and national journal portals like Garuda and Sinta, covering publications from 2010 to 2024. The selection criteria included peer-reviewed articles, government reports, and institutional publications that directly addressed forensic accounting, fraud prevention, and related Indonesian case studies. Thematic analysis was applied to categorize findings based on recurring issues such as regulatory challenges, educational gaps, and technological integration. This method allows researchers to identify both conceptual patterns and empirical gaps across multiple sources (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007). The literature was critically evaluated for relevance, credibility, and contribution to forensic accounting discourse. Using this approach, the study aims to develop a comprehensive understanding that bridges theory and practice in fraud prevention strategies.

The data for this study were collected through a structured document analysis process involving both international and Indonesian literature. Sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, government reports, conference proceedings, and publications from professional bodies such as the ACFE and KPK Indonesia (ACFE, 2022; KPK RI, 2023). The inclusion criteria required that sources be published between

2010 and 2024 and explicitly address topics related to forensic accounting, fraud prevention, or regulatory frameworks. Searches were conducted using keywords like "forensic accounting," "fraud prevention," "Indonesia," and "digital forensic audit" across databases such as Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Indonesian Sinta Portal. Only English and Bahasa Indonesia sources were considered to maintain contextual relevance and accessibility. Duplicated, non-scholarly, and irrelevant documents were excluded to ensure the quality of the review (Snyder, 2019). Each document was carefully screened for research purpose, methodology, and key findings. This method ensured a rich pool of data representing both theoretical and practical perspectives.

The analysis was conducted using a qualitative content analysis approach, focusing on identifying recurring themes, patterns, and research gaps from the collected literature (Elo & Kyngäs, 2008). Documents were first coded based on key themes such as the role of forensic accounting in fraud detection, regulatory issues, professional competency, and technology integration. A deductive coding strategy was applied to align the data with pre-established research questions, while also allowing for inductive discovery of emerging themes (Vaismoradi et al., 2016). Patterns were compared across sources to identify consistencies and contradictions in findings, helping to highlight unresolved issues or areas lacking depth in existing studies. Particular attention was paid to how Indonesian studies differ from or align with global trends in forensic accounting practice. The analysis was performed manually to maintain close engagement with the texts and ensure interpretive accuracy. Findings from the analysis were then synthesized to formulate a coherent narrative that informs both academic inquiry and practical application. This approach allows for a nuanced understanding of the current state and future direction of forensic accounting in Indonesia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The literature review revealed that forensic accounting has been significantly underutilized in Indonesia despite its growing relevance in fraud prevention efforts. While global studies emphasize the integration of forensic tools in both internal controls and audits, Indonesian organizations largely remain reliant on conventional auditing methods (Rezaee & Riley, 2010; Putra & Yulianto, 2020). Forensic accounting, as shown in Table 1, offers a more investigative approach by combining legal procedures with financial expertise, allowing for deeper scrutiny of fraudulent schemes. However, challenges such as lack of awareness, limited human resources, and absence of regulatory support continue to hinder its implementation (Sihombing & Rahardjo, 2021). Unlike developed countries where forensic audit is part of compliance routines, Indonesia is still in the adoption stage (Wells, 2014). Institutional resistance and budget constraints further limit the use of forensic services in fraud examinations (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). These findings underscore the need for stronger legal mandates and standardized procedures to legitimize forensic accounting practices. Ultimately, there is a pressing call to formalize its role within the national fraud control ecosystem.

Table 1: Comparative Features of Traditional Auditing vs. Forensic Accounting

Feature	Traditional Auditing	Forensic Accounting
Objective Scope	Compliance & Accuracy General Financial Reporting	Fraud Detection & Legal Support Specific Suspicious Transactions
Methodology	Sampling & Controls Review	Investigation, Tracing, Interviews
Legal Admissibility	Often Not Court-Ready	Admissible as Expert Testimony
Use of Technology	Limited	High (e.g., Digital Forensics Tools)

The second major finding highlights the crucial role of education and professional certification in strengthening forensic accounting practices in Indonesia. According to several studies, most universities do not yet offer forensic accounting as a standalone course, leading to a skills mismatch between industry demands and graduate competencies (Wijayanti & Firmansyah, 2024). Table 2 illustrates the contrast in forensic accounting curriculum availability between Indonesia and selected countries like the United States and Malaysia. In countries with lower fraud tolerance, forensic accounting education is embedded in undergraduate and professional programs (Crumbley et al., 2013). Meanwhile, Indonesia lags behind due to curriculum constraints and lack of qualified instructors (Hidayat & Nurkhin, 2019). The absence of standardized certification pathways also contributes to fragmented skill development (Kurniawan & Yusuf, 2023). Enhancing educational infrastructure and promoting collaboration between academia and professional bodies like the ACFE are key strategies for closing this gap (ACFE, 2022). Education not only prepares future professionals but also fosters a culture of accountability within financial environments.

Table 2: Availability of Forensic Accounting Curriculum in Selected Countries

Country	Undergraduate Courses	Certification Pathways	Integration with Audit Programs
United States	Available	Yes (CFE, CPA)	Strong
Malaysia	Limited but Growing	Yes (ACCA, Local CFE)	Moderate
Indonesia	Rare	No Standard Pathway	Weak

Finally, digital transformation presents both an opportunity and a challenge for forensic accounting in Indonesia. The increasing use of digital payment systems and online transactions has expanded the landscape of financial fraud, necessitating advanced forensic tools such as data analytics and AI (Interpol, 2023). However, few organizations in Indonesia have adopted digital forensic technologies in their fraud detection efforts (KPK RI, 2023). This limitation not only delays fraud discovery but also affects evidence reliability during litigation (Silverstone & Sheetz, 2007). The literature points to a lack of investment in forensic IT infrastructure and expertise, which hampers investigation accuracy (Omar et al., 2017). Additionally, regulatory frameworks have yet to

accommodate the use of digital evidence effectively (Rachmawati & Martani, 2017). Despite these setbacks, some Indonesian institutions are beginning to integrate digital audit tools in high-risk areas like procurement and banking. Strengthening digital forensic capabilities, alongside traditional accounting practices, could significantly improve fraud detection efficiency and legal outcomes.

Recent literature emphasizes the evolving role of forensic accounting in response to increasingly complex fraud schemes driven by digital transformation and globalization. For example, Ardhani & Sutrisno (2021) found that forensic accounting, when supported by digital audit tools, significantly enhances fraud detection accuracy in Indonesian government sectors. Similarly, Kurniawan & Yusuf (2023) noted that the integration of forensic accounting with cybersecurity and data analytics is becoming a necessity in managing corporate fraud risks. However, adoption in Indonesia remains low due to limited infrastructure and professional capacity. Wijayanti & Firmansyah (2024) argue that there is an urgent need for formalized forensic accounting education in Indonesian universities to produce competent professionals. Globally, forensic accounting is also being linked with broader anti-corruption efforts, as seen in Southeast Asian policy frameworks (Interpol, 2023). While countries like Malaysia have integrated forensic audit into public governance, Indonesia is still developing a unified model for forensic practice (Rizki et al., 2020). These findings suggest that both technical modernization and educational reform are required to optimize the role of forensic accounting in Indonesia. Bridging this gap will ensure its relevance and efficacy in national fraud prevention strategies.

In addition to institutional challenges, research also highlights regulatory and cultural barriers that affect the implementation of forensic accounting in Indonesia. According to Syafitri & Prasetyo (2021), weak enforcement and a lack of whistleblower protection laws limit the scope of forensic investigations in both public and private sectors. This view is supported by Hakim & Fauzi (2022), who underline the reluctance of companies to undergo forensic audits due to fear of reputational damage. On the other hand, studies by Nugroho et al. (2020) show that when forensic accounting is mandated by regulation, it contributes positively to financial transparency and investor confidence. Recent efforts by the Indonesian Financial Services Authority (OJK) to encourage forensic reviews in fintech cases mark a step forward, though they remain inconsistent and largely reactive (OJK, 2023). Literature also indicates that the role of forensic accountants as expert witnesses in court proceedings is underutilized due to vague legal standards (Hakim & Fauzi, 2022). Therefore, future policies should prioritize harmonizing legal frameworks, investing in training, and promoting the institutionalization of forensic practices across industries. These multidimensional insights are critical to elevating the profession beyond mere investigation and into a core component of financial governance.

This study contributes novel insights by focusing specifically on the application of forensic accounting in the context of Indonesia, a subject still underrepresented in global academic discourse. While previous research often discusses fraud prevention

from a general or Western-centric perspective, this paper synthesizes Indonesia-specific challenges such as regulatory inertia, low digital forensic capacity, and the scarcity of professional training programs (Wijayanti & Firmansyah, 2024; Kurniawan & Yusuf, 2023). The research highlights the misalignment between existing anti-fraud policies and the operational realities of forensic practice in Indonesia, an angle rarely explored in literature (Hakim & Fauzi, 2022). Furthermore, it introduces the dimension of integrating digital forensics with traditional investigative accounting in response to Indonesia's rapidly expanding fintech sector (OJK, 2023). This interdisciplinary perspective enriches existing frameworks that have previously treated forensic accounting and digital auditing as separate domains (Syafitri & Prasetyo, 2021). Unlike earlier studies, this paper emphasizes forensic accounting not only as a reactive tool but also as a strategic, preventative mechanism. As such, the research repositions forensic accounting as a proactive pillar within national governance structures. This localized and forward-looking approach distinguishes it from most prior literature.

Another key novelty lies in the structured analysis of forensic accounting education and certification systems in Indonesia, areas frequently overlooked in empirical research. While global studies have discussed the importance of forensic accounting qualifications (Ardhani & Sutrisno, 2021), this study uniquely maps the educational gaps and proposes how Indonesia can align with international standards. It contributes a fresh pedagogical framework that links academic curriculum, professional ethics, and policy needs—a triangulation not commonly presented in previous literature (Wijayanti & Firmansyah, 2024). The study also identifies the lack of integration between forensic accounting expertise and law enforcement bodies, which hinders the admissibility of forensic findings in court (Hakim & Fauzi, 2022). Moreover, by incorporating data on regional fraud trends and technological advancements, the research extends the current body of knowledge beyond traditional financial fraud models (Interpol, 2023). This review therefore serves as a foundational reference for policymakers, educators, and audit professionals looking to institutionalize forensic accounting within Indonesia's anti-fraud ecosystem. Overall, the originality of this study lies in its comprehensive, localized, and cross-disciplinary synthesis of literature.

This study holds global relevance by offering a localized model of forensic accounting integration that can inform similar efforts in other developing countries facing systemic fraud challenges. While much of the existing literature is centered on Western contexts, this research provides a fresh perspective from Southeast Asia, where regulatory environments and institutional maturity differ significantly (Interpol, 2023; Nugroho et al., 2020). The study's synthesis of forensic accounting, digital transformation, and education reform can be adapted in regions with emerging digital economies and rising cybercrime rates (Kurniawan & Yusuf, 2023; OJK, 2023). Furthermore, the paper advocates for the harmonization of accounting, legal, and technological disciplines, which reflects the global shift toward interdisciplinary approaches in anti-fraud strategies (Wijayanti & Firmansyah, 2024). By mapping barriers and solutions from Indonesia's experience, this research serves as a reference for policymakers and academic institutions

globally. The study also reinforces the importance of cultural sensitivity in implementing forensic tools in diverse governance settings (Hakim & Fauzi, 2022). As fraud becomes more complex and borderless, localized studies like this are crucial in building scalable and context-aware anti-fraud frameworks. In that sense, this paper contributes to the broader international discourse on forensic accounting in public governance.

CONCLUSION

The literature review confirms that forensic accounting holds significant potential as a proactive tool for fraud prevention in Indonesia, yet its practical application remains limited due to structural, regulatory, and educational barriers. Compared to global practices, Indonesia lacks standardized procedures, certified professionals, and digital infrastructure needed to support effective forensic audits. The integration of forensic accounting into national anti-fraud strategies is still in its early stages and requires coordinated reform. Findings also emphasize the urgent need for curriculum development, interagency collaboration, and stronger legal frameworks to legitimize forensic evidence. Additionally, digital transformation creates both challenges and opportunities for advancing forensic practices. As cyber fraud grows, the adoption of data analytics and forensic technology must be prioritized. This study offers a contextualized synthesis that can guide policymakers, educators, and institutions in strengthening Indonesia's fraud prevention ecosystem. Ultimately, enhancing the role of forensic accounting will contribute to greater financial transparency, accountability, and governance integrity.

REFERENCES

- ACFE. (2022). *Report to the Nations: 2022 Global Study on Occupational Fraud and Abuse*. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. <https://www.acfe.com/report-to-the-nations/2022/>
- Ardhani, R., & Sutrisno, T. (2021). The impact of forensic accounting and audit committee on fraud detection. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 12(2), 227–241. <https://doi.org/10.18202/jamal.2021.08.12002>
- Crumbley, D. L., Heitger, L. E., & Smith, G. S. (2013). *Forensic and Investigative Accounting* (7th ed.). CCH Inc.
- DiGabriele, J. A. (2008). An empirical investigation of the relevance of forensic accounting. *Journal of Forensic & Investigative Accounting*, 1(1), 1–18.
- Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 62(1), 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x>
- Hakim, L., & Fauzi, R. (2022). The influence of corporate culture on forensic accounting acceptance in fraud investigations. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 24(1), 55–66. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jak.24.1.55-66>

- Hidayat, M. A., & Nurkhin, A. (2019). The role of forensic accounting in uncovering corruption: A conceptual framework. *Jurnal Akuntansi Indonesia*, 8(1), 1–12.
- Interpol. (2023). *Cybercrime in Southeast Asia: Regional Threat Assessment 2023*. <https://www.interpol.int/en/Crimes/Cybercrime>
- Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). *Guidelines for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering*. EBSE Technical Report. <https://www.dur.ac.uk/ebse/resources/guidelines/>
- KPK RI. (2023). *Laporan Tahunan Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi 2023*. <https://www.kpk.go.id>
- Kurniawan, A., & Yusuf, M. (2023). Forensic accounting and digital fraud: An Indonesian perspective. *Jurnal Ilmu Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, 14(2), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.24843/JIAB.2023.v14.i02.p05>
- Nugroho, R., Wahyudi, T., & Sudibyo, Y. A. (2020). Forensic accounting and financial transparency: Evidence from Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*, 24(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jaai.vol24.iss1.art4>
- Omar, N., Mohamad, D., & Yusof, M. A. (2017). Financial criminology and fraud prevention: The case of Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 7(1), 31–37.
- Putra, D. A., & Yulianto, A. (2020). The role of forensic accounting in minimizing fraud: Evidence from Indonesia. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 8(1), 12–22.
- Rachmawati, R., & Martani, D. (2017). Factors influencing fraud in Indonesia: A review. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 2(1), 43–56.
- Rezaee, Z., & Riley, R. (2010). *Financial Statement Fraud: Prevention and Detection* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
- Rizki, A., Purba, M., & Lestari, N. (2020). Implementing forensic audits in public institutions: An Indonesian case. *Jurnal Pemeriksaan Keuangan Negara*, 2(1), 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.31966/jpkn.v2i1.654>
- Sihombing, F., & Rahardjo, S. (2021). The urgency of forensic accounting in fraud prevention: A study in the Indonesian context. *Indonesian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 5(2), 112–124.
- Silverstone, H., & Sheetz, M. (2007). *Forensic Accounting and Fraud Investigation for Non-Experts*. Wiley.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Syafitri, R., & Prasetyo, E. (2021). Whistleblowing system and forensic audit in Indonesia: Obstacles and solutions. *Jurnal Tata Kelola & Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 7(2), 215–230. <https://doi.org/0.28986/jtaken.v7i2.225>
- Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2016). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 15(3), 398–405. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12048>

- Wells, J. T. (2014). *Principles of Fraud Examination* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Wijayanti, R., & Firmansyah, R. (2024). Bridging the skills gap: The role of forensic accounting education in fraud prevention. *Indonesian Journal of Contemporary Accounting Research*, 2(1), 21–35.
<https://doi.org/10.24843/IJOCAR.2024.v02.i01.p03>